


Feedback from Alumni about curriculum (2019-20)

1. Need of syllabus updation.
2. Course curriculum intellectually stimulates you.
3. Courses meet contemporary requirements.
4. Reading material regarding curriculum is easily available.
5. Syllabus enhances employability.
6. The content of the curriculum is interesting and updated.
7. The curriculum fulfils the demands of industry and students.
8. The curriculum helps in development of your personality.
9. The curriculum is satisfied.

Alumni Feedback 2019-20




Interpretation:

Suggestions:

Principal

Feedback from Parent about curriculum (2019-20)

1. Syllabus of your daughter/son having current content.
2. The curriculum help in enhancing intellectual aptitude
3. The curriculum help in developing personality of your daughter/son
4. Your daughter/son would get adequate knowledge from the curriculum.
5. The curriculum applicability in daily life
6. The curriculum is relevant for the solution of local problems
7. According to you the curriculum of your ward is satisfied.




Interpretation:

Suggestions:

Principal

Feedback from Students about curriculum (2019-20)

1. The curriculum is updated regularly.
2. The curriculum satisfies the demands of industry and students
3. The content of the curriculum is interesting and updated
4. The curriculum helps in the development of your personality.
5. The curriculum can be applied in day-to-day activities.
6. The curriculum is relevant and sensitive towards local problems
7. The curriculum comprises of skill based content.
8. There is chance in improvement of the curriculum?
9. How relevant are the subjects for job requirements and future aspirations?
10. The curriculum is satisfied.




Interpretation:

Suggestions:

Principal

Feedback from Teachers about curriculum (2019-20)

1. The curriculum is relevant for employability
2. The curriculum effective in developing innovative thinking
3. Syllabus effective in developing skill oriented human resources.
4. The curriculum helps in the development of your personality.
4. Current syllabus is need based
5. Effectiveness of curriculum for development of entrepreneurship
6. Effectiveness of curriculum for development of entrepreneurship



Interpretation:

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Course by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Satisfaction of subject/Faculty choice
2. Your opinion about faculty subject after learning
3. Completion of syllabus
4. Manner of completion
5. Periodical test /Tutorials
6. Use of innovative teaching methods by teachers
7. Benefit from practical/Study tours/Field work
8. Manner of conducting practical/Study tours/Field work
9. Use of computers/internet for teaching
10. Chances of job opportunities due to course

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
JSA	3.33	3.44	3.67	3.44	3.56	3.11	3.22	3.44	3.22	3.44	08



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Library by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of staff
3. Service given by staff
4. Ratio of no. of copies to students
5. Availability of books
6. Accessibility of subject, moderate other than text book
7. Accessibility of old newspapers cutting / periodicals
8. Atmosphere of study
9. Availability of Librarian
10. Guidance of Librarian

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
SVG	3.70	3.65	3.65	3.68	3.73	3.65	3.51	3.62	3.70	3.57	37



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
JCM	3.71	3.83	3.88	3.88	3.79	3.88	3.67	3.83	3.92	3.88	24



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
SBS	3.63	3.78	3.59	3.59	3.70	3.81	3.63	3.74	3.52	3.52	27



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
BGF	3.71	3.68	3.66	3.66	3.68	3.61	3.37	3.55	3.61	3.66	38



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
SSC	3.69	4.00	3.94	3.94	3.81	3.81	3.75	3.88	3.81	3.69	16



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
MJ	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.00	4.00	3.92	3.92	3.96	4.00	3.96	26



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
SNK	3.78	3.56	3.44	3.67	3.33	3.56	3.44	3.67	3.67	3.56	09



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
SDT	4	3.25	4	4	4	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	4


Interpretation:
Poor: 1
Average: 2
Good: 3
Excellent: 4
Suggestions:
Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Samiksha T	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.79	3.68	3.79	3.79	3.84	3.79	19



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Laboratory by Students: Arts (2019-20)

Questions:

1. Suitability of timings
2. Availability of lab-assistance
3. Helping nature of lab-assistance/staff
4. Availability of lab-material
5. Functioning of apparatus/equipment/Instrument
6. Illumination and ventilation
7. Over all usage of lab for success in final exam
8. Availability of practical teachers
9. Cleanliness of lab
10. Knowing practical of next week in advance

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Mule	3.86	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.00	7



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4


Suggestions:

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Anjal D.R.	3.83	3.21	3.07	3.28	3.45	3.52	3.34	3.48	3.62	3.07	33



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Dr.S.D.Gaikwad	3.62	3.59	3.64	3.57	3.74	3.87	3.52	3.68	3.62	3.72	74



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students	
Dr.M.V.Deshmukh	3.94	3.86	3.84	3.88	3.85	3.93	3.86	3.80	3.86	3.84	96	



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

11. Punctuality and regularity
12. Fluency of medium
13. Effectiveness of teaching
14. Command over the subject
15. Completion of syllabus in time
16. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
17. Readiness for extra lectures
18. Willingness to guide students
19. Availability to solve problems
20. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Dr.D.N.Patil	3.34	3.23	3.28	3.31	3.42	3.36	3.03	3.24	3.31	3.39	110



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Dr.R.A. Gulalkari	3.32	3.12	3.26	3.34	3.29	3.21	3.36	3.24	3.18	3.24	94



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Bora M.A.	3.95	3.83	3.92	3.81	3.98	3.98	3.70	3.78	3.78	3.88	90



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Gaikwad D.D.	3.68	3.80	3.75	3.78	3.47	3.82	3.41	3.62	3.56	3.71	101



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Sonawane S.M.	4.00	3.94	3.87	3.88	3.88	3.88	3.69	3.81	3.92	3.92	59



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
prof. Kand R.D.	3.65	3.24	3.10	3.38	3.67	3.33	3.26	3.36	3.37	3.27	94



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof.Gopale R.D.	3.45	3.37	3.21	3.29	3.24	3.49	3.18	3.29	3.18	3.37	49



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Shelke Chakradhar	3.89	3.77	3.74	3.94	3.77	3.69	3.49	3.63	3.80	3.46	39



Interpretation:

Poor: 1

Average: 2

Good: 3

Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Dr. M. Pagariya	3.77	3.69	3.74	3.73	3.68	3.73	3.65	3.69	3.66	3.77	74



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:.


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Vipul Ghemud	3.8	3.76	3.76	3.6	3.63	3.60	3.60	3.67	3.84	3.83	60



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Waghmare V.V	3.73	3.42	3.56	3.60	3.51	3.44	3.47	3.72	3.57	3.80	75



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Kute A.U.	3.66	3.13	3.00	3.35	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.33	3.77	3.31	47



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Garud H.	3.63	3.37	3.30	3.25	3.42	3.42	3.27	3.37	3.48	3.43	60



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Ambure R.	3.81	3.79	3.76	3.95	3.69	3.67	3.40	3.60	3.76	3.43	42



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Manavatkar S.S.	3.46	3.12	3.09	3.23	3.40	3.15	3.00	3.15	3.13	3.12	87



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Dr. Swati Kolat.	3.89	3.72	3.75	3.91	3.85	3.86	3.85	3.86	3.82	3.82	87



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: Science 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Fulari S.	2.86	3.24	3.00	3.28	3.14	3.21	3.28	3.14	3.21	3.00	71



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. S. S. Patil	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof.Manvatkar S.S.	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.91	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Chittyal M. R.	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Mahajan R.A.	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20.

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Kakpure K.B.	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	3.82	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI) for 2019-20.

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Gaikwad Sweta	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	50



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

Evaluation of Teachers by Students: BCA(SCI)

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Mahajan S. P.	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	34



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


Evaluation of Course by Students: Arts 2019-20

Questions:

1. Completion of syllabus
2. Satisfaction of subject/Faculty choice.
3. Your opinion about faculty subject after learning
4. Manner of completion
5. Periodical test /Tutorials
6. Use of innovative teaching methods by teachers
7. Benefit from practical/Study tours/Field work
8. Manner of conducting practical/Study tours/Field work
9. Use of computers/internet for teaching
10. Chances of job opportunities due to course

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Arts	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	3.43	45



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


Evaluation of Course by Students: Commerce 2019-20

Questions:

1. Completion of syllabus.
2. Satisfaction of subject/Faculty choice
3. Your opinion about faculty subject after learning
4. Manner of completion
5. Periodical test /Tutorials
6. Use of innovative teaching methods by teachers
7. Benefit from practical/Study tours/Field work
8. Manner of conducting practical/Study tours/Field work
9. Use of computers/internet for teaching
10. Chances of job opportunities due to course

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Commerce	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	60



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:.

Principal


valuation of Course by Students: BBA (CA) 2019-20

Questions:

1. Satisfaction of subject/Faculty choice
2. Your opinion about faculty subject after learning
3. Completion of syllabus
4. Manner of completion
5. Periodical test /Tutorials
6. Use of innovative teaching methods by teachers
7. Benefit from practical/Study tours/Field work
8. Manner of conducting practical/Study tours/Field work
9. Use of computers/internet for teaching
10. Chances of job opportunities due to course

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
BBA (CA)	3	3.06	3.07	3.25	3.14	3.74	1.15	2.94	3.09	2.7	100



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

Principal


valuation of Course by Students: BCA (Sci) 2019-20

Questions:

1. Satisfaction of subject/Faculty choice
2. Your opinion about faculty subject after learning
3. Completion of syllabus
4. Manner of completion
5. Periodical test /Tutorials
6. Use of innovative teaching methods by teachers
7. Benefit from practical/Study tours/Field work
8. Manner of conducting practical/Study tours/Field work
9. Use of computers/internet for teaching
10. Chances of job opportunities due to course

Marks Obtained:

Class/Que. No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
BCA (Sci)	3	3.06	3.07	3.25	3.14	3.74	1.15	2.94	3.09	2.7	44



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4


Suggestions:

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. H. P. Jagtap	3.83	3.70	3.71	3.51	3.54	3.76	3.76	3.66	3.76	3.77	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. B.R. Joshi	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	3.68	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Patil A. D.	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Satav S.V.	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20.

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Kulat S.M.	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	3.64	70



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA for 2019-20.

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Damdhare P.S.	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	50



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:


Principal

valuation of Teachers by Students: BBACA

Parameters of Evaluation:

1. Punctuality and regularity
2. Fluency of medium
3. Effectiveness of teaching
4. Command over the subject
5. Completion of syllabus in time
6. Teachers knowledge about applications of the subject
7. Readiness for extra lectures
8. Willingness to guide students
9. Availability to solve problems
10. Overall impression

Name of Teacher	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	Total Students
Prof. Mahajan S. P.	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	34



Interpretation:

Poor: 1 Average: 2 Good: 3 Excellent: 4

Suggestions:

